

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/770,479 | 02/04/2004 | Masaki Ito | NITT.0189 | 1890 |
| 75 | 10/06/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| REED SMITH LLP | | | THOMPSON, ANNETTE M | |
| Suite 1400 3110 Fairview I | Park Drive | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Falls Church, V | /A 22042 | | 2825 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 10/06/2004 | 1 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | · | | ab | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | | 10/770,479 | ITO, MASAKI | | | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | | A. M. Thompson | 2825 | | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply | appears on the cover sheet w | vith the correspondence address | | | | | |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stareply received by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO titute, cause the application to become A | reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1)🖂 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 | February 2004. | | | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T | his action is non-final. | / | | | | | |
| 3) | | | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice unde | er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C. | D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | • | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without the control of the above claim(s) is/are without the application and the application are control of the above claim(s) is/are without the application are control of the above claim(s) is/are without the application are control of the above claim(s) is/are without the application are control of the above claim(s) is/are without the application are control of the above claim(s) is/are without the application are control of the above claim(s) is/are without the application are control of the above claim(s) is/are without the application are control of the above claim(s) is/are without the application are control of the above claim(s) is/are without the application are control of the above claim(s) is/are without the application are control of the appl | | | | | | | |
| ′ | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| · <u> </u> | Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| - | ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| | 40 n | a/or oreston requirement. | | | | | | |
| | ion Papers | | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ | The specification is objected to by the Examem The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 February 2004</u> is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the contraction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the | /are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ the drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawin | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d |) . | | | | |
| Priority | under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| a) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore □ All b) □ Some * c) ☑ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority docum 2. □ Certified copies of the priority docum 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a | ents have been received. ents have been received in a priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | Application No n received in this National Stage | | | | | |
| Attachmer | at(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) ☐ Interview | Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | |
| 2) Notice | ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No | (s)/Mail Date | | | | | |
| | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ er No(s)/Mail Date <u>02/04/2004</u> . | (08) 5) Notice of 6) Other: | Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |

Art Unit: 2825

DETAILED ACTION

This application, 10/770,479, has been examined. Claims 1-5 are pending.

Priority

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Japan on 06/02/2001. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed, either with the instant application or with the parent application a certified copy of the Japanese application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The foreign patent document listed on Applicant's PTO-1449 has not been considered because it has not been provided with the Applicant's filing and a concise explanation of its relevance is not included.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rajsuman et al. (Rajsuman), U.S. Patent 6,678,645. Rajsuman discloses a method and apparatus for SOC design validation.

- 5. Pursuant to claim 1, Rajsuman discloses a semiconductor integrated circuit device (Abstract, Figure 3) comprising first and second circuit blocks (Fig. 3, #41, A, B, C); an interface checker to monitor whether waveforms of signals between the first and second circuit blocks conform to an interface specification of a design data of the first circuit block (col. 5, II. 16-30); and an external output pin to output a result of a monitoring of the interface checker to an external of the semiconductor IC device (Fig. 3).
- 6. Pursuant to claim 2 wherein the external output pin outputs a value indicating conformity or non-conformity (col. 7, II. 60-65, the response comparison).
- 7. Pursuant to claim 3, wherein the interface specification describes timing information in synchronization with a clock signal (col. 10, II. 4-40).
- 8. Pursuant to claim 4, Rajsuman discloses a method (Abstract) that provides design data and an interface specification of the design data; generates a synthesizable interface checker in accordance with the specification (col. 10, line 40 to col. 11, line 27); produces a semiconductor IC including a first logic circuit (col. 6, II. 33-56); monitors whether waveforms of signals between circuit blocks conform to an interface specification. (col. 9, line 34 to col. 10, line 39, the verification unit).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/770,479

Art Unit: 2825

9. Pursuant to claim 5, further comprising outputting a first value indicating a

conformity of the waveforms to the interface specification or a second value indicating a

nonconformity of the waveforms to the interface specification to an external of the

semiconductor IC (col. 7, II. 60-65, the response comparison).

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. Please reference the PTO-892 for a listing of pertinent

references.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications should be

directed to Examiner A.M. Thompson whose telephone number is (571) 272-1909. The

Examiner can usually be reached Monday thru Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m..

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

12. Responses to this action should be mailed to the appropriate mail stop:

Mail Stop _____

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Art Unit: 2825

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306, (for all **OFFICIAL** communications intended for entry)

Primary Examiner Technology Center 2800 Page 5